



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,066	11/24/2003	Frank Carter	040046-0306195	9145
909 7:	590 01/26/2005		EXAMINER	
	WINTHROP, LLP		STEWART, ALVIN J	
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3738	

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/719,066	CARTER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alvin J Stewart	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover she twith the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Oc	<u>ctober 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10,12-15,17,18,21-25 and 27-31 is/3 7) ☐ Claim(s) 11, 16, 19, 20, 26 and 32 is/are object 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	are rejected. red to.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 November 2003 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 12, 13, 17, 23, 25, 27, 28, 30, 31 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Williard US Patent 6,221,060 B1.

Williard discloses a stent having a body with a predetermined length, a plurality of wings (26), securement barbs (20) extending radially outwardly with barb tips and barb roots. The barbs have an angle equal or less than about 75 degrees. The wings are parallel to one another. The body has a lumen defined within the body capable of accommodate a guide therein. Additionally, the body and the securement barbs comprise of thermoplastic polyurethane elastomer (see col. 4, lines 56-60).

NOTE: regarding the new limitations entered please see Figure 9 showing a plurality of wings extending *substantially* along the entire length of the stent.

Claims 1-4, 7-10, 12-15, 17, 18, 21, 22, 24, 27, 28, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chobotov et al US Pub. 2003/0120331 A1.

Chobotov et al discloses a stent having a body with a predetermined length, a plurality of wings (226), securement barbs (220B, 220A) extending radially outwardly with barb tips and barb roots. The barbs have an angle equal or less than about 75 degrees. The wings are parallel

Art Unit: 3738

to one another. The body have a lumen defined within the body capable of accommodate a guide therein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 6 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chobotov et al US Pub. 2003/0120331 A1.

Chobotov et al discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Chobotov et al does not disclose an angle between 60 to 90 degrees.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the barbs having an angle between 60 to 90 degrees, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11, 16, 19, 20, 26 and 32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's arguments filed October 29, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Examiner has interpreted the Applicants' new limitations as broad as possible. Willard discloses a stent having two ends, the wings extend along the length of the stent from a distal end to the proximal end. Because the Applicant representative has used the word substantially, the Examiner does not need to look for wings completely a long the entire length of the stent. Therefore, Figure 9 of the Willard reference clearly shows a plurality of wings along substantially the entire length of the stent.

Regarding the Chobotov et al reference, it is clearly shown in Figure 2 that the wings are angularly spaced around the body of the stent, no matter if that angle is at 90 degree from the surface of the body.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J Stewart whose telephone number is 703-305-0277. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM-5:30PM(1 Friday B-week off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alvin J Stewart Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738

January 19, 2005.